## 

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate these are the Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate these are the Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate these are the court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate these are the court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate these are the court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate these are the court for the purpose of initiating the civil declarate the civil de

<ul> <li>I. (a) PLAINTIFFS</li> <li>WINSTON RICKETTS</li> <li>(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA         (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)</li> </ul>				DEFENDANTS HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC. d/b/a EMBASSY SUITES PHILADELPHIA  County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A Sidney L. Gold, Esquire Sidney L. Gold & Assoc., 1835 Market St., Suite 51	P.C.		Attorneys (If Kno	(עשר)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		F PRINCIPAL PARTIES			
U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Or Citizen of This State	nly) PTF DEF  M 1 □   Incorporated or Pr of Business In This			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	1 4 Diversity (Indicate Cutzensh)	o of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and F of Business In A			
W. NATURE OF CHAR			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nation	<b>0606</b>		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALT	TY BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Personal Injury - Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETTIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Off 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detaince - Conditions of Confinement	1 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8 690 Other  1 1 ABOR 1 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 7 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relation 740 Railway Labor Act 1 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  1 IMMIGRATION 1 462 Naturalization Applic 1 463 Habeas Corpus	422 Appeal 28 USC 158     423 Withdrawal     28 USC 157     PROPERTY RIGHTS     820 Copyrights     830 Patent     840 Trademark     861 HIA (1395ff)     862 Black Lung (923)     863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))     864 SSID Title XVI     865 RSI (405(g))     870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)     871 IRS—Third Party     26 USC 7609	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
		Remanded from C	J 4 Reinstated or $\Box$ 3 at	ransferred from  other district  pecify  1 6 Multidistrict  Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	TITLE VII PHR	<u> </u>	re filing (Do not cite jurisdiction				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	N DEMAND \$ 150,000.00	CHECK YES only  JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: ■ X Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 11/19/2014		SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY OF RECORD /S/ SIDNEY	L. GOLD, ESQUIRE			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/					

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 09/11)

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM IS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.CP., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is aparty, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

  Example:
  U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
  Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

## 

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX A

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA -DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 448 E. TULPEHOCKEN STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19144

Place of Accident, Incident or Trans	action 1776 BENJAMIN FF	RANKLIN PARKWAY, PHILA, PA 19103
		(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)
Does this case involve mutidistrict li RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	tigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠
Case Number:	Judge	Date Terminated
	yes is answered to any of the followi	
. Is this case related to property inc	bluded n an earlier numbered suit pen	iding or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
	·	Yes□ No⊠
Does this case involve the same i action in this court?	ssue of fact or grow out of the same t	transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminate
		Yes□ No⊠
Does this case involve the validity terminated action in this court?	or infringement of a patent already in	n suitor any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
torminated 2000/11/11/15 COUIT;		Yes□ No⊠
IVIL: (Place X in ONE CATEGOR	RY ONLY)	
. Federal Question Case&		B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract, Marine (	Contract, and All Other Contracts	Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
☐ FELA		2. Airplane Personal Injury
☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury		3. Assault, Defamation
☐ Antitrust	•	4. Marine Personal Injury
Patent		5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
Labor-Management Relation	s	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
Civil Rights		7. Products Liability
☐ Habeas Corpus		8 Products Liability -Asbestos
☐ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. All other Diversity Cases
Social Security Review Case	s	(Please specify)
All other Federal Question C	ases	
(Please specify)		CERTIFICATION opriate Category)
SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRI	counse of re	ecord do hereby certify:
		t of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action
Relief other than monetary		
ATE: 11-19-201	/s/S	IDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE SLG-21374
	Attor	y if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
	vithin case is not related any case	now pending or within one year previous ly terminated action in this o
ccept as noted above.		
ATE: 11-19-2014		S/SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE SLG-21374  ney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX A

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA -DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff 448 E. TULPEHOCKEN STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19144

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1776 BENJAMIN FRANK	KLIN PARKWAY, PHILA, PA 19103
	e Reverse Side For Additional Space)
Does this case involve mutidistrict litigation possibilities?  RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Yes□ No⊠
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following que	
1. Is this case related to property included n an earlier numbered suit pending o	within one year previously terminated action in this court? ${\sf Yes} {\color{red}\square}  {\sf No} {\color{black} \boxtimes}$
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transactaction in this court?	tion as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suitor terminated action in this court?	any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously
	Yes No 🛛
CIVIL: (Place X in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Case&	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2 🗖 FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3	3. Assault, Defamation
4 Antitrust	Marine Personal Injury
5 Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6 Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🗵 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
θ □ Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability -Asbestos
9 Securities Act(s) Cases	9 All other Diversity Cases
0 Social Security Review Cases	•
1. All other Federal Question Cases	(Please specify)
(Please specify)  ARBITRATION CER  (Check appropriate of the content of the conte	
SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE counsel of record de	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my exceed the sum d \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
11_10_201	L. GOLD, ESQUIRE SLG-21374
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	aw Attorney LD #
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now proceed as noted above.	
11-19-2014	SI C 313=1
ATE: 11-19-2014 Attorney-at-l	X L. GOLD, ESQUIRE SLG-21374 Attorney I.D.#

### IN THE- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

## CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

WINSON	RIC	<b>KETTS</b>
--------	-----	--------------

CIVIL ACTION

HILTON WOLDWIDE,	INC.	d/b/a	EMBA	SSY	SUIT	ES
PHILADELPHIA						

V.

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned

## S

1 2 2 2 2	men wat detendant beneves the ease should be assigned.	
SELECT ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
	(a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through §2255.	( )
	(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	( )
	(c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
	(c) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	( )
	(d) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	( )
	(e) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.	( <b>X</b> )
11-19-2014	/S/SIDNEY L. GOLD	, ESQUIRE
Date Wiy 660, 7195	Attorney-at-law  - /s/SIDNEY L. GOLI  Attorney for P	
77 : J : 900) /172		

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WINSTON RICKETTS,

**CIVIL ACTION NO.:** 

Plaintiff,

VS.

.

HILTON WORLDWIDE, INC. D/B/A EMBASSY SUITES PHILADELPHIA,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

### COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

#### I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

- 1. This is an action for an award of damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorney's fees and other relief on behalf of Plaintiff, Winston Ricketts ("Ricketts"), a former employee of Defendant, Hilton Worldwide, Inc. d/b/a Embassy Suites Philadelphia ("Defendant"), who has been harmed by the Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices.
- 2. This action is brought under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §2000(e), et seq., as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, at 42 U.S.C. §1981(a) ("Title VII"), the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. §1981("§1981"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. §951 et seq. ("PHRA").

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

- 3. The original jurisdiction of this Court is invoked, and venue is proper in this judicial, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1391, as Plaintiff Ricketts' claims are substantively based on Title VII and §1981.
- 4. The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 to consider Plaintiff Ricketts' claim arising under the PHRA.
- 5. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled. On August 21, 2014, a Notice of Right to Sue was issued by the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and this action has been filed within ninety (90) days of receipt of said notice.
- 6. Plaintiff Ricketts has satisfied all other jurisdictional prerequisites to the maintenance of this action.

#### III. PARTIES:

- 7. Plaintiff, Winston Ricketts ("Ricketts"), is a forty-nine (49) year old individual and citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 448 E. Tulpehocken Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19144.
- 8. Defendant, Hilton Worldwide, Inc. d/b/a Embassy Suites Philadelphia ("Defendant"), is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, maintaining a place of business located at 1776 Benjamin Franklin Parkway, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103.

- 9. At all times relevant hereto, the Defendant was acting through its agents, servants, and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of employment, and under the direct control of the Defendant.
- 10. At all times material herein, the Defendant is and has been a "person" and "employer" as defined under Title VII, §1981, and the PHRA, and is accordingly subject to the provisions of said Acts.
- 11. At all times material herein, Plaintiff Ricketts is and has been a "person" and "employee" as defined under Title VII, §1981, and the PHRA, and is accordingly entitled to the protection of said Acts.

### IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS:

- 12. Plaintiff Ricketts, an individual born in Jamaica, was employed by the Defendant from on or about June 9, 2002 through on or about August 22, 2013, the date of his unlawful termination.
- 13. During his tenure of employment with The Defendant, Plaintiff Ricketts held the position of Engineering Supervisor and at all times maintained a satisfactory job performance rating in said capacity.
- 14. In or about June of 2013, The Defendant hired Jose Maysonet ("Maysonet"), Chief Engineer, to serve as Plaintiff Ricketts's direct supervisor.

  Immediately thereafter, Maysonet initiated a campaign of discrimination against Plaintiff Ricketts due to his race and national origin.

- 15. By way of example, Maysonet repeatedly sent Plaintiff Ricketts home from work early without explanation. Similarly situated, Caucasian co-workers were not asked to leave work before the scheduled end of their respective shifts. When Plaintiff Ricketts inquired as to why Maysonet had demanded Plaintiff Ricketts go home early when there was considerable work to be completed, Maysonet egregiously replied "go back to Jamaica."
- 16. On or about June 17, 2013, Plaintiff Ricketts reported Maysonet's discriminatory conduct and remark to Theodore Darnell ("Darnell"), Chief Executive Officer, Michael Miner ("Miner"), Location Director, and Nigel Hurst ("Hurst"), Human Resources Director. Thereafter, The Defendant initiated an investigation into Plaintiff Ricketts's report of a racially hostile work environment.
- 17. On or about June 27, 2013, Jean Schmier ("Schmier"), Employee Relations Director, informed Plaintiff Ricketts that The Defendant would not take any corrective action regarding his Complaint of race and national origin discrimination.
- 18. Upon learning of the aforesaid report, Maysonet embarked on a campaign of retaliation against Plaintiff Ricketts, and began treating Plaintiff Ricketts in an increasingly aggressive and hostile manner. On or about June 31, 2013, Maysonet approached Plaintiff Ricketts and shouted "you're a sorry ass snitch—why don't you leave or quit?" Plaintiff Ricketts demanded Maysonet cease and desist immediately. Later on aforesaid date, Plaintiff Ricketts reported Maysonet's retaliatory remark to Darnell,

Miner, and Hurst, but The Defendant failed to investigate Plaintiff Ricketts's report or take any corrective action.

- 19. Despite Plaintiff Ricketts's reports of race and national origin discrimination, Maysonet's discriminatory and retaliatory behavior persisted. By way of example, in or about late July of 2013, Maysonet falsely accused Plaintiff Ricketts of insubordination for failing to make a copy of a key which he never instructed Plaintiff Ricketts to copy. Curiously, Plaintiff Ricketts's work performance had never before been negatively criticized in his over eleven (11) years of employment with The Defendant.
- 20. By way of further example, on or about August 9, 2013, Maysonet violently ripped a binder from Plaintiff Ricketts's hands and stated "come Monday, you are going to get it", insinuating Plaintiff Ricketts will face repercussions for reporting unlawful discrimination in the workplace.
- 21. Later on said date, Plaintiff Ricketts registered a written complaint of race and national origin discrimination to Rodney Gooden ("Gooden"), General Manager. Rather than take corrective action to stop Maysonent's discriminatory and retaliatory conduct, Gooden unreasonably instructed Plaintiff Ricketts to go home and not return to work until further instruction.
- 22. On or about August 21, 2013, following an unjustified, eleven (11) day suspension for registering a complaint of discrimination and retaliation, Plaintiff Ricketts was permitted to return to work. Gooden and Elizabeth Pasquini ("Pasquini"), Human

Resources Manager, issued Plaintiff Ricketts a final written warning after Maysonet fabricated a report of insubordination which alleged that Plaintiff Ricketts failed to clean up nails and log calls as requested. Plaintiff Ricketts denied Maysonet's allegation, and stated that he believed he was placed on a suspension for registering complaints of race and national origin discrimination.

23. On or about August 22, 2013, the date following Plaintiff Ricketts's reinstatement and final written warning, Pasquini summoned Plaintiff Ricketts to her office and abruptly terminated Plaintiff Ricketts's employment for allegedly arguing with a Contractor. Plaintiff Ricketts did meet with a Contractor on said date, however, their exchange in The Defendant's hotel lobby was pleasant and professional, as Plaintiff Ricketts escorted the Contractor to the work site and then returned to work. Plaintiff Ricketts believes and avers that The Defendant's articulated reason for Plaintiff Ricketts's termination is pretextual, and that Plaintiff Ricketts's termination was based entirely on Plaintiff Ricketts's race (African-Carribean), national origin (Jamaica), and in retaliation for Plaintiff Ricketts's registered reports of unlawful discrimination in the workplace.

#### **COUNT I**

Plaintiff Ricketts v. the Defendant (Title VII - Race Discrimination, National Origin Discrimination, and Retaliation)

24. Plaintiff Ricketts incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 23 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 25. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, as aforesaid, in subjecting Plaintiff Ricketts to discrimination on the basis of his race, national origin, and to retaliation for opposing unlawful discrimination in the workplace, constituted violations of Title VII.
- 26. As a direct result of the aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of Title VII, Plaintiff Ricketts sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 27. As a further direct result of the aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of Title VII, Plaintiff Ricketts suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

#### **COUNT II**

# Plaintiff Ricketts v. the Defendant (§1981 - Race Discrimination, Retaliation)

- 28. Plaintiff Ricketts incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, as aforesaid, in subjecting Plaintiff Ricketts to discrimination on the basis of his race, and to

retaliation for opposing unlawful discrimination in the workplace, constituted violations of §1981.

- 30. As a direct result of the aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of §1981, Plaintiff Ricketts sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 31. As a further direct result of the aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of §1981, Plaintiff Ricketts suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

#### **COUNT III**

# Plaintiff Ricketts v. the Defendant (PHRA - Race Discrimination, National Origin Discrimination, and Retaliation)

- 32. Plaintiff Ricketts incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 31 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants and employees, as aforesaid, in subjecting Plaintiff Ricketts to discrimination on the basis of his race, national origin, and to retaliation for opposing unlawful discrimination in the workplace, constituted violations of the PHRA.
- 34. As a direct result of the aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Ricketts

sustained permanent and irreparable harm, resulting in a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.

35. As a further direct result of the aforesaid discriminatory and retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Ricketts suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

36. Plaintiff Ricketts incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 of his Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ricketts requests that this Court enter judgment in his favor and against the Defendant and Order that:

- a. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Ricketts with a rate of pay and other benefits and emoluments of employment to which he would have been entitled had he not been subjected to unlawful discrimination and retaliation;
- b. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Ricketts with an award of front pay, if appropriate;
- c. Defendant pay to Plaintiff Ricketts compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and other nonpecuniary losses as allowable;

- d. Defendant pay to Plaintiff Ricketts punitive damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, costs of suit and attorney and expert witness fees as allowed by law;
  - e. The Court award such other relief as is deemed just and proper.

## **JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff Ricketts demands trial by jury.

SIDNEY L. GOLD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: /s/ Sidney L. Gold, Esquire SG1387

SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE

Attorney I.D. No.: 21374 1835 Market Street, Suite 515

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 569-1999

**Attorney for Plaintiff** 

Dated: November 19, 2014

## **VERIFICATION**

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this **Complaint** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of Title 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

DATE: 10.31.14

WINSTON RICKETTS, Plaintiff